

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/602,559	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DARROW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rebecca L. Anderson	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** abandoned

(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sarah Barnett.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 December 2005

**Time:** 2:14pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Sarah Barnett for Lauren Stevens confirmed that no reply was filed to the restriction requirement mailed 14 June 2005 and the application is abandoned. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the abandonment of the application..